

Motivations to Adopt Solar Energy Systems: A Literature Review Using TCCM Framework

IIMS Journal of Management Science
16(1) 78–104, 2025
© The Author(s) 2024
DOI: 10.1177/0976030X241291626
journal.iimshillong.ac.in



Archana Nag¹, Rupal Chowdhary¹ and Amulya Gurtu²

Abstract

Increased awareness about environmental conservation and global well-being has given way to many initiatives in renewable energy. Hydro, wind, tidal, geothermal, biomass, and solar are the main forms of renewable energy. Solar energy has the largest share of renewable energy and is rapidly increasing because it is feasible and competitive. Many studies have been undertaken to understand the adoption and diffusion of solar energy. This article is a systematic literature review using the TCCM (Theory, Context, Characteristics, Methods) model. It reviewed 95 studies (from 2000 to mid-2023) indexed in top journals on small solar energy installations, primarily in the residential sector. This study discussed well-established product adoption theories, which helped identify various drivers and facilitators affecting solar energy adoption, such as sociocultural, technological, economic, market, and policy. The authors tried to map the facilitators with countries based on income groups, and it was found that the characteristics of solar adoption are similar among countries in an income group.

¹ Prestige Institute of Management and Research (PIMR) 2, Education & Health Sector, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

² Department of Maritime Business Administration, College of Marine Sciences & Maritime Studies, Texas A&M University, Texas, USA

Corresponding Author:

Archana Nag, Prestige Institute of Management and Research (PIMR) 2, Education & Health Sector, Scheme No 54, Indore, Madhya Pradesh 452010, India.
E-mail: archananag2712@gmail.com



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-Commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed.

Keywords

Sustainable development, renewable energy, solar photovoltaic systems, diffusion models, solar energy adoption, TCCM review

JEL Classification: M31, O13, O33, Q01, Q20

Received 18 October 2023; accepted 24 September 2024

Introduction

The adoption of renewable energy is on the rise. The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UNSDG) emphasize exploring renewable energy sources to ensure clean and affordable energy for all by 2030 (UNSDG, 2015). Past research shows a consistent increase in the share of renewable energy sources, especially wind and solar energy, in the energy mix (Kajikawa et al., 2008). Based on outcomes of COP26 deliberations, Adekoya et al. (2023) found that reduction in coal consumption and economic development find a trade-off, and hence, policy designs for low-carbon technologies should be an optimized energy mix of both renewable and nonrenewable energy sources. Successful implementation of these policies requires rampant adoption and diffusion of renewable energy sources (RES) at macro as well as micro levels.

Large-scale renewable energy installations are already growing exponentially in the form of solar farms, wind farms, and floating solar, to name a few (Alipour et al., 2021). However, there is considerable potential for small-scale installations in the residential sector. Rooftop solar energy is the most prominent of the existing RES (Alipour et al., 2021). This study tries to understand residential consumers' attitudes and preferences toward rooftop solar energy systems, primarily solar photovoltaic (PV) and solar water heating systems. Although many studies are available on the adoption and diffusion of solar products (Ahmed et al., 2022; Faiers & Neame, 2006; Irfan et al., 2021; Karakaya et al., 2014; Palm, 2020; Pathania et al., 2017; Wolske et al., 2017; Zulu et al., 2022), little has been covered regarding context-specific motivating factors.

This study fills this gap and provides a comprehensive list of context-specific motivating factors for adopting solar energy. The motivations to adopt renewable energy are different and context-specific. For instance, pro-environmental attitude in high-income economies motivates people to use solar energy at a higher cost (Walters et al., 2018; Wolske et al., 2017). In contrast, the cost is a primary concern in low-income economies where subsidies are an effective intervention to promote solar products (Cheam et al., 2021; Qureshi et al., 2017). The availability of institutional support, microfinance facilities, and community participation helps in the adoption of solar products. Creating awareness about the benefits of solar power over conventional power also helps in higher diffusion (Kalish, 1985). The availability of electricity helps reduce the dependence on unreliable grids, improving the quality of life in rural areas (Pasten & Santamarina, 2012).

This article is a systematic literature review that dives deep into finding motivators or drivers that could help diffuse this technology in various countries and regions and is based on the TCCM (Theoretical concepts, Contexts, Characteristics, and Methodologies) framework propounded by Paul & Rosado-Serrano (2019). As per Paul and Criado (2020), literature reviews help expand the conceptual, thematic, and methodological domains of the subject being studied. This study is a framework-based review that considers the widely accepted theoretical models and methodologies in different geographical contexts and helps in understanding the various products as well as individual characteristics that are instrumental in the adoption and diffusion of solar energy products. Review of available literature is relevant as well as important since it gives insights on multiple impact factors such as social, geographical, politico-legal, and environmental ones, affecting solar energy adoption. These factors when studied in detail in one context may help in identification of problems in another one and also aid in predictive modeling.

As discussed above, the study contributes to the domain of renewable energy adoption in that it tries to identify the most used approaches and organize them for analyzing and understanding diffusion patterns. This will help policymakers in framing inclusive policies more efficiently and accurately. The study also explored the scalability and transferability of models adopted from one country/region to other countries/regions. The study aims to answer the following research questions (RQ):

- RQ1: How has the adoption of solar products in the residential context become important over time?
- RQ2: Which articles, researchers, and countries are working for the adoption of solar products?
- RQ3: Which theories, characteristics, context, and methodologies are essential for the adoption and diffusion of solar products?

The study reviewed various research articles and articles to fulfill the desired objectives and identify the research gaps in this domain. Accordingly, our article is divided into nine sections. The second section discussed the methodology; the third section presented the theoretical frameworks (T of TCCM framework); the fourth section classified studies in the Context (first C of TCCM framework); the fifth section identified the Characteristics (second C of TCCM framework) of the literature; the sixth section dealt with the Methods (M of TCCM framework) used in previous studies. General discussions about the study are dealt with in the seventh section, and implications are discussed in the eighth section. Finally, the ninth section concludes the study with the limitations of the study and possible future research.

Methodology

To conduct a systematic review, good impact factor journals and established databases, like Scopus, Web of Science, Science Direct, JSTOR, Taylor and Francis, Google Scholar, EBSCO, ProQuest, and Elsevier, were searched. Also, citations, bibliography, abstracts, and related keywords like adoption, diffusion,

solar energy, renewable energy, solar water heater, PV systems, solar products, motivating factors, barriers, consumer behavior toward clean energy, innovation, technology acceptance, sustainability, antecedents, and frameworks were looked for in various combinations. The search phrase “motivating factors for solar energy systems” was considered from 2000 to mid-2023. The articles from low-impact factor journals were excluded from the review. A flowchart depicting the search process steps and search criteria to collect data is shown in Figure 1. The data collection process used the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework. The selected studies identified dependent and independent variables that could prove beneficial to marketers who can utilize them in the context and given situations. Future researchers can also use these variables to understand consumer behavior concerning the adoption of solar rooftop systems.

Iskin et al (2013) observed that an increased number of articles on the above topic could be found only after the year 2000 because of increased crude oil prices. Hence, the search was narrowed down to the years 2000 to mid-2023 and a total of 95 articles were selected. Some articles described the development of various theoretical frameworks before 2000. The distribution of articles (including reports from various sources) up to mid-2023 is shown in Figure 2.

The articles from peer-reviewed journals were analyzed to understand the enabling agents for scaling up solar power usage. Based on the number of articles published, the top journals were Energy Policy, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Renewable Energy and Energy Research & Social Science (Table 1).

The research articles included empirical articles, reports, and existing reviews, and a snowball methodology was adopted in some cases of cited literature. Also, some articles were studied to understand the framework (TCCM) on which our study is based (Paul & Rosado, 2019; Singh & Dhir, 2019).

The World Bank’s WDI (World Development Index) was used to classify countries according to their gross national income (GNI). Countries are classified as low-income, lower-middle income, upper-middle income, and high-income groups. This context is even more important because, in most studies dealing with the adoption of solar products, income and the cost of the equipment are prominently highlighted as significant variables.

Searching various databases yielded quite a few review articles based on different frameworks. However, no study on solar energy adoption was found regarding the TCCM model. Table 2 summarizes prominent studies in this area, followed by a comprehensive analysis of this article, as given in Figure 3.

What Is the TCCM Framework?

TCCM is an acronym for Theory, Context, Characteristics, and Methodology. The TCCM framework is a comprehensive model that provides a structured approach to synthesizing, analyzing, and understanding literature. It is frequently used in systematic literature reviews.




Figure 1. Flowchart of the Study (Using PRISMA).




Figure 2. Reviewed Publications (2000-mid-2023).

Table 1. Top Publishing Journals.

Name of Journal	# of Articles	%	Cumulative %
Energy Policy	9	9.47%	9.47%
Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews	7	7.37%	16.84%
Renewable Energy	7	7.37%	24.21%
Energy Research & Social Science	4	4.21%	28.42%
Technological Forecasting and Social Change	3	3.16%	31.58%
Management Science	3	3.16%	34.73%
Smart and Sustainable Built Environment	3	3.16%	37.89%
Sustainability	3	3.16%	41.05%
Journal of Cleaner Production	3	3.16%	44.21%
MIS Quarterly	3	3.16%	47.36%
Research Policy	2	2.11%	49.47%
International Marketing Review	2	2.11%	51.58%
IIMS Journal of Management Science	2	2.11%	53.68%
Other journals (one article from 30 journals each)	30	31.58%	85.26%
Others (books, conference articles)	14	14.74%	100.00
Total	95	100.00	

Reviews based on the TCCM framework can be done in four steps:

- 1) Identification of relevant and dominant **Theories**
- 2) Identifying and evaluating **Contextual** factors
- 3) Analyzing the **Characteristics** of the existing studies
- 4) Reviewing the **Methodologies** used in the relevant studies.

Table 2. Important Studies for Residential Solar Adoption.

Studies	Research Questions	Findings
Ahmed et al. (2022)	What determinants influence the consumer's adoption of solar (PV) systems in developing countries?	Perceived usefulness, perceived ease-of-use, compatibility, observability, and perceived trust. Somalia and Pakistan were compared, and both countries have similar solar photo voltaic (SPV) adoption patterns.
Alizadeh et al. (2019)	Understand the decision-making framework in Iran as far as RE policy is concerned.	A consolidated approach uses benefits, opportunity costs, security, risks, technology, economy, energy vulnerability, global effects, and human well-being. Solar energy tops the benefits and opportunity parameters and needs more focus while designing RE policies.
Arroyo-López & Carrete (2019)	To design and empirically evaluate a model to stimulate the intentions of solar energy users.	Demographics, environmental consciousness, and perceived risk associated with solar PV were designated goal triggers for green energy adoption. High socioeconomic status moderated the intention to adopt solar PV.
Balcombe et al. (2014)	To understand the motivating factors in the uptake of microgeneration technologies.	Motivators include earning money from installation, feed-in tariff, energy independence, self-sufficiency, and protection against future high energy costs. Creating awareness is essential in increasing the uptake of alternative energy resources.
Bang et al. (2000)	To examine the relationships between consumer concern for the environment, consumer knowledge, and beliefs about renewable energy, and consumer willingness to pay more for renewable energy.	Beliefs, concerns, and knowledge were significantly positively related to consumer willingness to pay more for RE. However, many people are still not aware of RE technologies but are ready to support their environmental concerns. So, building up positive belief systems and making people informed should be the main aim of RE marketers.
Bao et al. (2020)	Find out the attributes of solar PV systems and installers that are important to a homeowner. To understand homeowner's preferences for SPV based on demographics. Understand gaps in stated preferences and actual experiences	Cost savings, system warranty and reliability, design level attributes, installer's reliability, and customer-installer collaboration are essential considerations.
Best et al. (2019)	To study economic, social, and environmental factors influencing actual uptake and the intention to install solar PV.	Wealth dimensions, property space and rights, and environmental preferences influence solar uptake. Energy-poor people are more inclined to adopt. Government subsidies and low-cost financing schemes may also increase adoption.

Blenkinsopp et al. (2013)	Assess energy usage (types of primary fuels used, problems faced in their usage). Perception of RETs and socioeconomic factors affecting uptake of RE in rural communities.	Alternative fuel sources are accepted, and people switch if they are cost-effective, environmentally friendly, reliable, and safe.
Etongo & Naidu (2022)	To understand the factors influencing solar PV uptake.	Access to credit, monthly household income, energy security, and environmental benefits are the motivating factors; cheap grid electricity, existing loans, high upfront costs, and extended payback periods are the barriers to the adoption of solar PV.
Guta (2018)	To study the motivational factors for adopting solar panels.	Income, education, household characteristics, and gender are important factors, and policies should be designed by government and private players alike.
Korcaj (2015)	To explore motives and purchase intentions of homeowners relevant to solar PV systems.	Purchase intention is predicted by subjective norms (i.e., peer behavior and expectations) and attitude toward PV (based on aspirations of social status, autonomy, and financial gains). Costs, efforts, and risks associated with PV systems were not favorable to attitude.
Rai et al. (2016)	Overcoming barriers and uncertainties in the adoption of residential solar PV.	Information gathering process; peer effects; role of installers; and factors driving the choice of outright purchase versus third party ownership (e.g., leasing)
Vasseur & Kemp (2015)	Understand the motivating factors for adopting solar PV. Understand the barriers to the adoption of solar PV.	modes of adoption; installers and peers play an important role along with expected financial returns and concerns about operations and maintenance. Savings on electricity bills, cost efficiency, and self-sufficiency are primary motivators; non-ownership, type of building being an apartment, high costs, solar panels being less efficient, and low-yield energy sources are significant barriers in the adoption of solar PV.




Figure 3. Analysis of our Study.

Though many review techniques are available, this framework's comprehensiveness and easy-to-understand approach made us use it for our study. Further, very few authors have tried to explore this methodology of reviewing residential solar energy adoption. A clear segregation of theories, context, and methods helps synthesize and evaluate existing literature more easily (Paul & Criado, 2020).

Theory (T)

Various theories have been developed over the years on people's attitudes toward adopting newer ideas, technology, or innovations and how these innovations diffuse through different stages of adoption. This section will explore some commonly applied theories on the diffusion of solar products. The scope of our review is limited to solar PV, solar water heaters, and solar home systems. Solar energy systems are still evolving and remain in the realm of innovation and a niche market (Sharif & Mithila, 2013).

Various studies covering adoption and diffusion of solar energy products have referred to the Diffusion of Innovation Theory (DOI/IDT), given by Rogers (1962). According to the theory of diffusion, a product or an idea gets adopted over time socially due to its innovative attributes such as relative advantage, observability, trialability, compatibility with existing practices, and complexity or simplicity for ease of use. The theory further explains that innovation gets adopted and diffused in stages, which include knowledge or awareness spread among individuals through various communication channels, persuasion levels, the decision to adopt or not adopt after weighing the given attributes, actual adoption or implementation, and finally continuing with the given product or innovation. This innovation diffusion theory has been in use for years in different domains. However, a lack of cohesion, dynamism, and flexibility along with one-directional

information flow has led to modified versions of this theory and the emergence of many other concepts like Collaborative Innovation Networks (CoIN), Technology Acceptance Models, and other variants.

Bass diffusion model gives a mathematical equation to understand the adoption process of a product in a population. Bass (1969) gave a diffusion theory based on the concept that an innovative product or idea gets adopted and diffused through two communication channels: mass media and word-of-mouth. The model categorized adopters as external influences who follow mass media and called them innovators. The other category, internal influences, follows word-of-mouth and is called Imitators (Mahajan et al., 1990). However, the original model did not consider factors like seasonality, which led to many variants later. These variants were classified as Fundamental and Flexible Diffusion models by Mahajan and Peterson (1985) and Time and Space diffusion models (Rao & Kishore, 2010).

The theory of reasoned action (TRA) by Fishbein and Ajzen (1977) is particularly important in understanding consumer purchase behavior. The authors divided the belief antecedents into behavioral (attitude toward the behavior) and normative (perceived social pressure associated with the behavior) sets. The authors found that behavioral intentions are one of the best predictors of consumer behavior and can be utilized in designing product and market development strategies. Ajzen (1991) gave the theory of planned behavior, which explains that consumers who intend to adopt a product are more likely to purchase and use it in the future. Another significant contribution is made by Davis's Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and its extensions in UTAUT and UTAUT2. Table 3 gives an overview of various theoretical frameworks used in the study.

Besides referring to the theoretical frameworks for understanding the conceptual and historical evolution of the adoption and diffusion process of technological innovations, it was very important to understand how various studies contributed to identifying study variables and attaining research objectives. An easier and more understandable method was to analyze the existing review articles. Although there is a slow growth of review studies on solar adoption (Rai et al., 2016), various studies have observed that solar PV adoption is increasing among residential consumers. Table 4 shows some important review studies pertinent to our topic of residential adoption of solar energy systems.

Context (C)

Various studies show that environmental concerns primarily trigger the acceptance of solar power in the case of economically developed countries. Economics is secondary to them (Schelly & Letzelter, 2020). On the other hand, low awareness levels, lack of funds, and low market accessibility result in low diffusion levels of solar products in developing countries (Venkateswaran et al., 2018). One of the main characteristics of low-income countries about energy consumption patterns is the rural-urban divide, wherein rural households mostly use energy to light up their homes and cook (Haselip et al., 2011; Kabir et al., 2017; Komatsu et al.,

Table 3. Salient Theoretical Frameworks Used in the Study.

Theoretical Models	# of Citations	Identified Factors	Findings	Selected Studies Based on the Model
Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) (Rogers, 1962); Rogers et al. (2014)	154523	Relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, observability, triability	Innovation gets adopted and diffused in stages—Knowledge, communication systems, persuasion, and adoption decision followed by continuation decision.	Claudy et al. (2010); Pathania et al. (2017); Kapoor et al. (2020); Bao et al. (2019); Simpson and Clifton (2017)
Bass Model of Diffusion (Bass, 1969)	11484	External influence, internal influence	Ordinary least square method was used to study interactions between potential (imitators) and existing adopters (innovators)	Horsky and Simon (1983); Mahajan et al. (1990)
Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) and Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) (Fishbein & Ajzen, 1977)	82538	Attitudes and subjective norms; perceived behavioral control	Attitudes, beliefs, and social norms lead to behavioral intentions, one of the best predictors of consumer behavior.	Bang et al. (2000); Korcaj et al. (2015)
Technology Acceptance Model (Davis, 1989) and extended TAM (Venkatesh & Davis, 2000)	82958 30165	Perceived usefulness and perceived ease-of-use	Purchase intention and user acceptance are predicted by perceived usefulness and ease of use.	Ahmed et al. (2022); Billanes & Enevoldsen (2021); Bouaguel & Alsulimani (2022); Yang et al. (2021)
UTAUT (Venkatesh et al., 2003) and UTAUT2 (Venkatesh et al., 2012)	48572 14906	Performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence, facilitating Conditions, hedonistic motivation, habit.	Identified factors affect the user intentions to adopt technology and this further decides the actual behavior; age, gender, experience, and voluntariness of use act as moderating variables.	Aggarwal et al. (2019); Aravindan et al. (2022); Saleh et al. (2014)
ABM (Gilbert, 2007)		Attributes and behaviors of decision-makers or a set of agents, their interrelationships and interactions, and the environment in which these agents operate.	Individual-based models; multi-agents model. Geography-based models	Rai & Allada (2002); Palmer et al. (2015); Zhao et al. (2011); Palmer et al. (2015); Rai & Robinson (2015); Zhang et al. (2022)

Table 4. Review Studies Referred to in our Article.

Study	Title of the Study	Particulars
Alipour et al. (2021)	Residential solar PV adoption behavior: End-to-end review of theories, methods, and approaches.	One hundred ninety-nine studies suggest that 36 intervention variables are associated with 13 behavioral theories in residential uptake of solar PV technology.
Billanes & Enevoldsen (2021)	A critical analysis of ten influential factors to energy technology Acceptance and adoption.	Fifty-four (54) relevant studies of TAM applications to various technologies across many countries between 2011 and 2020
Fauzi et al. (2023)	Residential rooftop solar panel adoption behavior: Bibliometric analysis of the past and future trends.	Co-citation and co-word analysis were done on 564 publications from the Web of Science.
Iskin et al. (2013)	Exploring the adoption of alternative energy technologies: A literature review.	One hundred seventy-three articles were reviewed from 1975 onward.
Schulte et al. (2022)	A meta-analysis of residential PV adoption: the important role of perceived benefits, intentions and antecedents in solar energy acceptance.	A meta-analytical structural equation modeling (MASEM) approach was applied to 110 studies.

2011; Tigabu, 2017; Venkateswaran et al., 2018) and the urban population uses the electricity primarily for heating, space cooling, and running other appliances.

Hence, models used in developed countries should not be followed mindlessly in developing countries because their issues regarding social, economic, and cultural setups are pretty different (Painuly, 2001). Gurtu and Goswami (2020) observed that energy consumption patterns vary in the different stages of economic development.

Further, developing countries are in a transitional phase of transforming from an energy-poor state to an energy-sufficient one, which calls for dynamic and flexible models that can be adapted as per the country's socio-economic indicators. Policies like feed-in tariffs, subsidy plans, and increasing electricity costs can also help in energy transitions. As per a report on the policy on renewable energy, RE growth drivers are classified into five dimensions, namely, resources (availability vs. potential vs. accessible), policy (regulatory frameworks, targets, and enabling mechanisms), economic (competitive advantage of RE vs. traditional resources of energy, cost factors, funding, and investments), and technical (grid capability) (Po, 2014).

Given the above, some studies were tabulated in Tables 5 to 8. These mentioned driving factors in enabling solar adoption in countries classified as lower-income (Table 5), lower-middle-income (Table 6), upper-middle-income (Table 7), and high-income group countries (Table 8) according to their per capita GNI starting July 1, 2021, as per World Bank (Hamadeh et al., blogpost, World Bank, 2022).

Table 5. Factors for Solar Adoption in Low-income Countries (less than \$1036).

Author (Year of Publication)	Context (Geographical Location)	Outcome of the Study
Kim et al. (2014)	Korea	Benefits, trust, and system quality determine public attitude toward solar products, and satisfaction and public attitude give way to public intention to use solar technologies.
Guta (2018)	Ethiopia	Household income and the education level of the family head and spouse positively affect the adoption rates; poverty reduction policies play a significant role in promoting household uptake of solar energy.

Table 6. Factors for Solar Adoption in Lower-middle-income Countries (\$1036–\$4046).

Author (Year of Publication)	Context (Geographical Location)	Outcome of the Study
Blenkinsopp et al. (2013)	India	Community acceptance for solar energy as an alternate fuel for lighting and cooking primarily. Cost, ease of use, and reliability are significant factors in favor of solar adoption.
Macabebe et al. (2016)	Philippines	Community participation, capacity building at the local level, training to community members, and larger distances of dwellings from the grid lead to higher adoption rates.
Khandker et al. (2014)	Bangladesh	Microcredit financing schemes, the health hazards of kerosene and paraffin lamps, improved quality of life, and women's empowerment are primary motivators for adopting solar home systems.
Jayaweera (2018)	Sri Lanka	Large homes, highly educated middle-aged residents, and retirees are more likely to be early adopters; policies incentivizing initial costs and education may increase adoption rates.
Qureshi et al. (2017)	Pakistan	The main drivers of the adoption of solar PV are the availability of solar energy systems in local markets, their environmental friendliness, and high energy prices followed by grid power shortages.
Ellegård et al. (2004)	Zambia	The advantages of a small solar home system outweigh its service cost or subscription fee (entertainment, longer study hours even at night, no risk of theft); hence, the rural people willingly pay for solar energy systems though they are not the owners (lack of affordability to own the system) but pay a little fee to the providing companies.

Table 7. Factors for Solar Adoption in Upper-Middle-Income Countries (\$4046–\$12535).

Author (Year of Publication)	Context (Geographical Location)	Outcome of the Study
Alizadeh et al. (2019)	Iran	Technology, economy, energy vulnerability, security, global effects, and human well-being were considered major dimensions of adopting RES.
Arroyo & Carrete (2019)	Mexico	High socio-economic levels are the prominent goal triggers for individuals' purchase intentions of green technology, and those with medium levels are motivated by the economic benefits of green energy. Decreasing the costs and encouraging community projects are recommended.

Table 8. Factors for Solar Adoption in High-Income Countries (\$12535 or More).

Author (Year of Publication)	Context (Geographical Location)	Outcome of the Study
Klaus et al. (2006)	Japan, Germany, the US, Switzerland	Higher government interventions, such as direct subsidies, low-interest loans, feed-in tariffs, and buy-back rates, led to the diffusion and penetration of solar PV.
Zhang et al. (2022)	Singapore	Policy measures like subsidy strategies and collective network development help in increasing solar uptake among residential customers

Characteristics (C)

Characteristics (second C of the TCCM framework) specify the variables, features, and dimensions studied while analyzing a topic of interest. In our article, these refer to various variables or antecedents at a consumer or household level leading to the adoption and diffusion of sustainable products, especially solar panels. These refer to what has already been explored and hence pave the way to what can be further explored. Table 9 lists dependent and independent variables and mediating and moderating variables observed through various studies included in this review.

Methods (M)

Methods (M in TCCM framework) refer to research approaches like data collection methods and data analytical techniques used to research a topic of interest. Table 10 discusses methods used in some of the studies included in our article.

Table 9. Characteristics (Variables) Identified Through the Studies.

Studies	Antecedents/Characteristics	Dependent Variable	Mediating/Moderating Variable(s)/Covariates
Aggarwal et al. (2019)	Environmental concerns, social beliefs, hedonic motivation, performance expectancy, price value, self-efficacy, and effort expectancy.	Actual behavior	Purchase intent (mediating), awareness (Moderating)
Ahmed et al. (2022)	Perceived usefulness, perceived ease-of-use, compatibility, observability, and perceived trust.	Purchase intention	Attitude
Ali & Yadav (2019)	Perceived usefulness, perceived ease-of-use, subjective norms, perceived behavioral control, awareness level, and cost.	Consumer intention to use solar rooftops	Not available
Alizadeh et al. (2019)	Technology, economy, energy vulnerability, security, global effects, and human well-being.	RE adoption	Not available
Arroyo-López & Carrete (2019)	Demographics, environmental consciousness, and perceived risk associated with solar PV.	Purchase intentions	Socioeconomic status (moderating)
Balcombe et al. (2014)	Environmental benefit, feed-in-tariff, earning money from installation, hedging against future high energy costs, energy independence, and self-sufficiency	Adoption	Demographics (Covariates)
Bekti et al. (2022)	Performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence, hedonistic motivation, price value, facilitating conditions	Behavioral intention	Attitude to use, perceived behavioral control
Best et al. (2019)	Household characteristics, environmental preferences, energy poverty, subsidies	Solar PV uptake and intention to install	Household electricity expenditure (explanatory)
Blenkinsopp et al. (2013)	Cost, ease of use, and reliability of solar products.	Community acceptance	Not Available
Bouaguel & Alsulimani (2022)	Perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use	User behavior	Attitude
Cheam et al. (2021)	Knowledge, environmentalism, cost, and personal innovativeness	Intention to adopt	Perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness
Claudy et al. (2010)	Sociodemographic variables (age, gender, household size, social class, region)	Awareness	Not Available

(Table 9 continued)

Etongo & Naidu (2022)	Demographics, access to credit, cost-saving, energy security, and environmentally friendly perceptions	Adoption	Not Available
Guta (2018)	Household income and education levels of family head and spouse; poverty reduction policies	Adoption	Not Available
Jayaweera (2018)	Large homes, highly educated middle-aged residents and retirees, policies incentivizing the initial costs	Adoption	Not Available
Khandker et al. (2014)	Microcredit financing schemes; health hazards of kerosene and paraffin lamps; improved quality of life; women empowerment	Adoption	Not Available
Kim et al. (2014)	Benefits, trust, system quality, satisfaction	Public attitude	Not Available
Korraj et al. (2015)	Environment benefits, price, policy, infrastructure, incentives, knowledge of the subject matter, and the social context	Purchase intention	Not available
Macabebe et al. (2016)	Community participation, capacity building at the local level, training to community members, larger distances of dwellings from the grid	Adoption	Not available
Vasseur & Kemp (2015)	The perceived relative advantage of the technology, the complexity of the innovation, social influence, and knowledge of grants and costs	Adoption	Social influence and knowledge of grants and costs (explanatory)
Yang et al. (2021)	Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, environmental awareness, perceived affordability	Intention to use	Attitude
Zulu et al. (2022)	Attitude, trust, benefits, and subjective norms, perceived behavioral control	Purchase intention	Attitude

Table 10. Research Methods Used in Different Studies.

Studies	Methods
Aggarwal et al. (2019)	Structured equation modeling
Ahmed et al. (2022)	Structured equation modeling
Alizadeh et al. (2019)	The hybrid MCDM (Multi-Criteria Decision Making) and Decision Analysis model, BOCR (Benefits, Opportunities, Cost and Risk) model, and ANP (Analytic Network Process) model were combined.
Arroyo-López & Carrete (2019)	Goal framing theory
Balcombe et al. (2014)	Qualitative (interview based on best-worst scaling method)
Bang et al. (2000)	Mail survey
Bao et al. (2020)	Discrete choice theory
Best et al. (2019)	Survey and secondary data from statistical surveys by the Australian government
Blenkinsopp et al. (2013)	Survey method
Bouaguel & Alsulimani (2022)	Online survey
Cheam et al. (2021)	Survey using TAM
Claudy et al. (2010)	Logistic regression
Ellegård et al. (2004)	Case study
Etongo & Naidu (2022)	Stratified sampling survey, logistic regression, and descriptive statistics
Guta (2018)	Logit regression
Jayaweera (2018)	Zero-inflated negative binomial regression model (ZINBM)
Khandker et al. (2014)	Propensity score matching (PSM) technique; probit-logit model; p-score weighted regression technique
Kim et al. (2014)	Structured equation modeling
Klaus et al. (2006)	Theoretical frameworks, case studies, reviews, policy analysis, innovation economics; integrated model for lead markets
Korcaj et al. (2015)	Online survey
Macabebe et al. (2016)	Case study
Qureshi et al. (2017)	Interview
Rai et al. (2016)	Matched survey and solar program data
Vasseur & Kemp (2015)	Online survey; logistic regression analysis
Zhang et al. (2022)	Real options analysis supported agent-based diffusion model (ROA-ABDM)
Zulu et al. (2021)	Structured equation modeling

Most of the studies are empirical and use quantitative methods. The survey method is the central data collection tool; some studies are interview-based, and some use mixed methods. Further, data is prominently analyzed using regression and structured equation modeling techniques since the period of review is spread over the last two decades. Some of the studies are also review articles with a bibliometric and meta-analytical approach.

Discussion

This review was done to understand the enablers for adopting solar energy systems in different parts of the world. These enablers or motivations were segregated using various theoretical frameworks in a country-specific context. As per Smith and Raven (2012) and Smith et al. (2014), solar energy systems require nurturing and empowerment to become competitive in the fight against the dominant technologies to achieve grid parity and, if not replaced, at least grab a significant share of energy. This study helped identify a comprehensive list of factors that facilitate deeper penetration of solar products in various segments. For instance, environmental concern can be considered a motivator in high-income economies. In the case of lower-middle-income economies, the role of governments in providing innovative solutions in subsidies, Feed-In-Tariff plans, vendor accessibility, and availability of microfinance are significant motivators. The role of NGOs is also essential in the case of low-income settlements.

Our review was based on the TCCM framework, which could help us identify the theories and relevant constructs and the methodologies that can be adopted to increase solar energy adoption in areas with defined social, economic, cultural, and environmental characteristics. Table 11 provides a gist of these identified enablers broadly categorized into environmental benefits, economic factors, sociocultural factors, demographic factors, policies, and marketability for solar products.

Considering the perspectives of a given area, a suitable model can be applied based on four criteria of effectiveness, efficiency, equity, and institutional feasibility given by the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA, 2014; Po, 2014). Providing much-needed energy security for all requires sustainable energy systems to be economically more viable and affordable energy sources. Access to loans, subsidized products, financial returns, and savings are some of the relative advantages the adopters perceived concerning solar products. Based on these criteria the following proposition can be made:

P1: Economic Factors Affect the Adoption of Solar Products

Communication channels like word-of-mouth, social gatherings, family, friends, and peers are significant in decision-making. Müller and Rode (2013) and Bollinger and Gillingham (2012) studied peer effects on the adoption of solar PV in Germany and California, respectively. They found that social interactions and PV installations in close spatial proximities increase the propensity of newer installations. Jager et al. (2006) observed that the formation of social networks and gatherings led to increased intermingling of adopters (influential people) and potential adopters, leading to increased awareness, reduced complexity, and changes in attitudes toward adopting solar PV systems. Further, many studies show that family size and higher household incomes are other important considerations. Hence, it can be proposed that.

Table 11. Summary of Motivating Factors for Adoption of Solar Products.

Main Factors	Subfactors	Relevant Studies
Economic factors	Savings on electricity bills Hedging against future costs Financial returns Access to credit	Etongo & Naidu (2022), Jacksohn et al. (2019), Best et al. (2019) Thiede et al. (2014), Balcombe et al. (2014) Rai et al. (2016) Etongo & Naidu (2022)
Sociocultural factors	Attitude, trust Knowledge and awareness Social acceptance Peer effects	Wolske et al. (2017), Yang et al. (2021), Zulu et al. (2021) Kalish (1985), Claudy et al. (2010), Iskin et al. (2013), Bouaguel & Alsulaimani (2022); Aravindan et al. (2022), Alam et al. (2021), Claudy et al. (2010), Simpson & Clifton (2017); Ali & Yadav (2019) Wüstenhagen et al. (2007), Kowalska-Pyzalska (2018); Brohmann et al. (2006), Blenkinsopp et al. (2013) Bollinger & Gillingham (2012), Balta-Ozkan et al. (2021), Müller & Rode (2013), Zhao et al. (2011), Bekti et al. (2022), Rai et al. (2016)
Environmental benefits	Environmental concerns / green energy preference Quality of life	Korcaj et al. (2015), Bouaguel & Alsulaimani (2022), Jacksohn et al. (2019), Etongo & Naidu (2022) Bekti et al. (2022), Alizadeh et al. (2019), Halder & Parvez (2015)
Demographics	Family income Household characteristics (family size)	Zhao et al. (2011), Guta (2018) Jacksohn et al. (2019), Etongo & Naidu (2022)
Policies	Subsidies and incentives Feed-in-tariffs	Klaus et al. (2006), Best et al. (2019), Jayaweera (2018), Simpson & Clifton (2017) Alyousef et al. (2017), Balcombe et al. (2014)
Marketing related	Promotional Initiatives (advertising, publicity, demonstrations) Market penetration, supply chain management Facilitating conditions, installer characteristics	Jager et al. (2006), Zhao et al. (2011), Alam et al. (2021), Heiskanen & Matschoss (2017), Pathania et al. (2017) Olson (2014), Harish et al. (2013) Bekti et al. (2022), Rai et al. (2016), Aggarwal et al. (2019), Heiskanen & Matschoss (2017)

P2: Sociodemographic and Cultural Characteristics Affect the Adoption of Solar Products

Many studies, especially those done in rural communities and low-income countries, observed that using solar products enhances the quality of life of people by providing them with energy security. Also, the environmental benefits of solar energy are well accepted and studied. This leads to the next proposition.

P3: Environmental Benefits Affect the Adoption of Solar Products

The policies to change the components of the energy mix must be designed to be effective, measurable, quantifiable, and suitable in most contexts. People are to be convinced that the potential benefits of solar energy shall always exceed the investment made by deployment in the long term. Participation by local communities and other stakeholders in the policy-making process is also called for. Hence, it is proposed that:

P4: Policy Initiatives Affect the Adoption of Solar Products

Besides awareness and affordability, accessibility is an important factor. Technical and institutional frameworks should be strong enough to cater to remote areas and provide energy to all. Installer reliability and expertise are also observed as important factors in increasing the adoption rates of solar products. Promotional campaigns carried out individually by the installers and as advertising and publicity by the agencies result in increased awareness levels and accessibility. Thus, it can be proposed that.

P5: Facilitating Conditions and Marketability Affect the Adoption of Solar Products

Implications

It is observed that while making decisions to adopt solar products, individuals majorly go for a cost-benefit analysis (Kamakura & Balasubramanian, 1988; Korcaj et al., 2015). This implies that the advantages of solar products should be highlighted to overshadow the costs involved. All stakeholders, such as the government, financial institutions, and sellers, must work in tandem to motivate individuals to use solar energy products. The study has various implications discussed below. The study and its implications may also be used for other renewable products.

The study highlighted the research wherein the attitudes of individuals affect the intentions to adopt solar products. It is also observed that knowledge and awareness about the benefits of solar products are critical components of attitude formation. Policymakers can run various campaigns at local and national levels to increase awareness. Since installer reliability is a significant motivator, as per the study, a more conducive environment (in the form of laws and policies) can be created for

manufacturers and other solar market practitioners. This review may also benefit policymakers while designing and developing infrastructure for Smart cities.

Access to credit or loan facilities boosts solar product adoption, especially in low-income groups. Banks and other financial institutions can develop innovative schemes, such as low-interest or bundled loans, to increase solar uptake and run promotional campaigns.

It is observed that people adopt solar products if they are aware of the benefits of solar products and if the market penetration is high. People tend to adopt more if the products are easily accessible to them (Pradhan & Kar, 2019). The supply chains for solar products need to be strengthened so that the products are accessible to the last mile. Marketing strategies, including advertisements and other promotional activities, can be designed by private as well as government organizations to make the public aware. This study can prove beneficial as an academic source for research scholars in renewable energy, sustainability, and economic development. Further, academicians can play a significant role in developing environmental awareness and consciousness among their students about the benefits of using RES so that they grow up as responsible citizens.

Conclusion

This review article summarizes information about motivating factors for the adoption of solar products, primarily solar rooftops and solar home systems, among residential households. Various studies were analyzed based on the TCCM framework, wherein theoretical models, contextual factors, characteristics, including dependent and independent variables, and methods were studied.

It is observed that over some time, there has been a growing interest in residential households, and increased adoption of solar energy is taking place, as shown by different studies. The motivating factors for increased interest range from cost savings to environmental conservation to increased social status. They vary according to different contexts like sociocultural characteristics, demographics, financial status, political and regulatory environment, and economic standing of the country (as reported by the World Bank). Studies also show that besides generating mass awareness about the advantages of solar products, making them accessible and affordable is equally essential. Increased marketability and commoditization can help reduce costs and thus increase reachability, especially in the case of lower and middle-income group countries.

Using an integrated and inclusive multistakeholder approach, the adoption and diffusion of solar products can be increased if the identified factors are rightly identified and placed. Customized solutions could be designed based on the specific requirements of the building owners, and contagion models could not explain the diffusion of solar products. In some cases, local requirements and conditions define the development of policies at the national level. Further, multiple approaches can be employed on a case-to-case basis; the right interventions by the right parties at the right time can help develop solar markets in synergy with local and shared facilities.

The review is limited to small-scale installations, primarily dealing with studies carried out on individual and residential levels. Further, diffusion of solar PV, solar water heaters, solar lighting systems, and solar rooftops are discussed. Also, the review can be extended to solar water/irrigation pumps, cooking systems, dryers, and other solar heating systems.

CRedit Author Statement

Rupal Chowdhary helped in conceptualization and methodology.

Archana Nag was involved in data curation and writing—original draft preparation.

Amulya Gurtu contributed to visualization and writing—reviewing and editing.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Archana Nag  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3864-7462>

Amulya Gurtu  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3149-8668>

References

- Adekoya, O. B., Kenku, O. T., Oliyide, J. A., & Al-Faryan, M. A. S. (2023). On the COP26 and coal's phase-out agenda: Striking a balance among the environmental, economic, and health impacts of coal consumption. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 328, 116872.
- Aggarwal, A. K., Syed, A. A., & Garg, S. (2019). Factors driving Indian consumer's purchase intention of roof top solar. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management*, 13(3), 539–555.
- Ahmed, Y. A., Rashid, A., & Khurshid, M. M. (2022). Investigating the determinants of the adoption of solar photovoltaic systems—citizen's perspectives of two developing countries. *Sustainability*, 14(18), 11764.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211.
- Alam, S. S., Ahmad, M., Othman, A. S., Shaari, Z. B. H., & Masukujjaman, M. (2021). Factors affecting photovoltaic solar technology usage intention among households in Malaysia: Model integration and empirical validation. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 1773.
- Ali, I., & Yadav, M. (2019). Factors influencing the consumer intention towards solar rooftop system at household level. *IIMS Journal of Management Science*, 10(1and2), 1–19.

- Alipour, M., Salim, H., Stewart, R. A., & Sahin, O. (2021). Residential solar photovoltaic adoption behaviour: End-to-end review of theories, methods and approaches. *Renewable Energy*, *170*, 471–486.
- Alizadeh, R., Soltanishat, L., Lund, P., & Zamanisabzi, H. (2019). Improving renewable energy policy planning and decision-making through a hybrid MCDM method. *Energy Policy*, *137*, 111174.
- Alyousef, A., Adepetu, A., & de Meer, H. (2017). Analysis and model-based predictions of solar PV and battery adoption in Germany: An agent-based approach. *Computer Science – Research Development*, *32*, 211–223.
- Aravindan, K. L., Thurasamy, R., Raman, M., Ilhavenil, N., Annamalah, S., & Rathidevi, A. S. (2022). Modeling awareness as the crux in solar energy adoption intention through unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. *Mathematics*, *10*(12), 2045.
- Arroyo-López, P., & Carrete, L. (2019). Motivational drivers for the adoption of green energy: The case of purchasing photovoltaic systems. *Management Research Review*, *42*(5), 542–567(26).
- Balcombe, P., Rigby, D., & Azapagic, A. (2014). Investigating the importance of motivations and barriers related to microgeneration uptake in the UK. *Applied Energy*, *130*, 403–418.
- Balta-Ozkan, N., Yildirim, J., Connor, P. M., Truckell, I., & Hart, P. (2021). Energy transition at local level: Analyzing the role of peer effects and socio-economic factors on UK solar photovoltaic deployment. *Energy Policy*, *148*, 112004.
- Bang, H., Ellinger, A., Hadjimarcou, J., & Traichal, P. (2000). Consumer concern, knowledge, belief, and attitude toward renewable energy: An application of the reasoned action theory. *Psychology and Marketing*, *17*, 449–468.
- Bao, Q., Sinitzskaya, E., Gomez, K. J., MacDonald, E. F., & Yang, M. C. (2020). A human-centered design approach to evaluating factors in residential solar PV adoption: A survey of homeowners in California and Massachusetts. *Renewable Energy*, *151*, 503–513.
- Bass, F. M. (1969). A new product growth for model consumer durables. *Management Science*, *15*(5), 215–227.
- Best, R., Burke, P. J., & Nishitateno, S. (2019). Understanding the determinants of rooftop solar installation: evidence from household surveys in Australia [CCEP Working Paper 1902], April 2019, Crawford School of Public Policy, The Australian National University.
- Billanes, J., & Enevoldsen, P. (2021). A critical analysis of ten influential factors to energy technology acceptance and adoption. *Energy Reports*, *7*, 6899–6907.
- Blenkinsopp, T., Coles, S. R., & Kirwan, K. (2013). Renewable energy for rural communities in Maharashtra, India. *Energy Policy*, *60*, 192–199.
- Bollinger, B., & Gillingham, K. (2012). Peer effects in the diffusion of solar photovoltaic panels. *Marketing Science*, *31*(6), 900–912.
- Brohmann, B., Feenstra, Y., Heiskanen, E., Hodson, M., Mourik, R., & Prasad, G. (2006). Factors influencing the societal acceptance of new, renewable and energy efficiency technologies: Meta-analysis of recent European projects. *International Journal of Energy*, *20*, 191–207.
- Cheam, W. Y., Lau, L. S., & Wei, C. Y. (2021). Factors influencing the residence's intention to adopt solar photovoltaic technology: A case study from Klang Valley, Malaysia. *Clean Energy*, *5*(3), 464–473.

- Claudy, M. C., Michelsen, C., O'Driscoll, A., & Mullen, M. R. (2010). Consumer awareness in the adoption of microgeneration technologies: An empirical investigation in the Republic of Ireland. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 14*(7), 2154–2160.
- Davis, F.D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly, 13*, 319–340.
- Ellegård, A., Arvidson, A., Nordström, M., Kalumiana, O., & Mwanza, C. (2004). Rural people pay for solar: Experiences from the Zambia PV-ESCO project. *Renewable Energy, 29*, 1251–1263.
- Etongo, D., & Naidu, H. (2022). Determinants of household adoption of solar energy technology in Seychelles in a context of 100% access to electricity. *Discover Sustainability, 3*(1), 38.
- Faiers, A., & Neame, C. (2006). Consumer attitudes towards domestic solar power systems. *Energy policy, 34*(14), 1797–1806.
- Fauzi, M. A., Abidin, N. H. Z., Suki, N. M., & Budiea, A. M. A. (2023). Residential rooftop solar panel adoption behavior: Bibliometric analysis of the past and future trends. *Renewable Energy Focus, 45*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ref.2023.02.002>
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1977). Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research. *Philosophy and Rhetoric, 10*(2).
- Gilbert, N. (2007). Agent-based models. The centre for research in social simulations. *Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences, 153*. Sage Publications.
- Gurtu, A., & Goswami, A. (2022). Emissions in different stages of economic development in nations. *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment, 11*(3), 608–621. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SASBE-04-2020-0052>
- Guta, D. (2018). Determinants of household adoption of solar energy technology in rural Ethiopia. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 204*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.09.016>.
- Halder, P., & Parvez, Md. (2015). Financial analyses and social impact of solar home systems in Bangladesh: A case study. *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research, 5*, 398–403.
- Hamadeh, N., Catherine, V.R., Metreau, E., & Eapen, S.G. (2022). New World Bank country classifications by income level: 2022-2023. Retrieved on March 14, 2024 from <https://blogs.worldbank.org/opendata/new-world-bank-country-classifications-income-level-2022-2023>
- Harish, S., Iychettira, K., Raghavan, S., & Kandlikar, M. (2013). Adoption of solar home lighting systems in India: What might we learn from Karnataka? *Energy Policy, 62*, 697–706. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.07.085>.
- Haselip, J. A., Nygaard, I., Hansen, U. E., & Ackom, E. (2011). *Diffusion of renewable energy technologies: Case studies of enabling frameworks in developing countries* (Technology Transfer Perspectives Series). Technical University of Denmark.
- Heiskanen, E., & Matschoss, K. (2017). Understanding the uneven diffusion of building-scale renewable energy systems: A review of household, local and country level factors in diverse European countries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 75*, 580–591.
- Irfan, M., Zhao, Z. Y., Rehman, A., Ozturk, I., & Li, H. (2021). Consumers' intention-based influence factors of renewable energy adoption in Pakistan: a structural equation modeling approach. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 28*, 432–445.

- Iskin, I., Taha, R.A., & Daim, T.U. (2013). Exploring the adoption of alternative energy technologies: A literature review. *International Journal of Sustainable Society*, 5, 43–61. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSSOC.2013.050534>
- Jacksohn, A., Grösche, P., Rehdanz, K., & Schröder, C. (2019). Drivers of renewable technology adoption in the household sector. *Energy Economics*, 81, 216–226.
- Jager, W. (2006). Stimulating the diffusion of photovoltaic systems: A behavioural perspective. *Energy Policy*, 34, 1935–1943. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2004.12.022>.
- Jayaweera, N. (2018). Local factors affecting the spatial diffusion of photovoltaic adoption in Sri Lanka. *Energy Policy*, 119.
- Kabir, E., Kim, K. H., & Szulejko, J. E. (2017). Social impacts of solar home systems in rural areas: A case study in Bangladesh. *Energies*, 10(10), 1615.
- Kajikawa, Y., Yoshikawa, J., Takeda, Y., & Matsushima, K. (2008). Tracking emerging technologies in energy research: Toward a roadmap for sustainable energy. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 75(6), 771–782.
- Kalish, S. (1985). A new product adoption model with pricing, advertising, and uncertainty. *Management Science*, 31, 1569–1585.
- Kamakura, W. A., & Balasubramanian, S. (1988). Long-term view of the diffusion of durables: A study of the role of price and adoption influence processes via tests of nested models. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 5, 1–13.
- Karakaya, E., Hidalgo, A., & Nuur, C. (2014). Diffusion of eco-innovations: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 33, 392–399.
- Khandker, S. R., Samad, H. A., Sadeque, Z. K., Asaduzzaman, M., Yunus, M., & Haque, A. E. (2014). Surge in solar-powered homes: Experience in off-grid rural Bangladesh. *World Bank Publications*.
- Kim, H., Park, E., Kwon, S. J., Ohm, J. Y., & Chang, H. J. (2014). An integrated adoption model of solar energy technologies in South Korea. *Renewable Energy*, 66, 523–531.
- Klaus J., Marian B., Jürgen M. B., Dietmar E., Rüdiger H., Martin J., Thomas L., Ulrich P., & Klaus R. (2006). Lead markets for environmental innovations. *ZEW Economic Series*.
- Komatsu, S., Kaneko, S., Shrestha, R. M., & Ghosh, P. P. (2011). Nonincome factors behind the purchase decisions of solar home systems in rural Bangladesh. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 15, 284–292.
- Korcaj, L., Hahnel, U. J. J., & Spada, H. (2015). Intentions to adopt photovoltaic systems depend on homeowners' expected personal gains and behavior of peers. *Renewable Energy*, 75, 407–415.
- Kowalska-Pyzalska, A. (2018). What makes consumers adopt to innovative energy services in the energy market? A review of incentives and barriers. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 82, 3570–3581.
- Macabebe, E. Q. B., Guerrero Jr, R. C., Domdom, A. C., Garcia, A. S., Porio, E. E., Dumlao, S. M. G., & Perez, T. R. (2016). A review of community-based solar home system projects in the Philippines. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 70, 12002.
- Mahajan, V., Muller, E., & Bass, F. M. (1990). New product diffusion models in marketing: A review and directions for research. *Journal of Marketing*, 54(1), 1–26.
- Mahajan, V., & Peterson, R.A. (1985). *Models for innovation diffusion*. Sage Publications.
- Müller, S., & Rode, J. (2013). The adoption of photovoltaic systems in Wiesbaden, Germany. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 22(5), 519–535.

- Olson, E. L. (2014). Green innovation value chain analysis of PV solar power. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *64*, 73–80.
- Painuly, J. P. (2001). Barriers to renewable energy penetration: A framework for analysis. *Renewable Energy*, *24*, 73–89.
- Palm, A. (2020). Early adopters and their motives: Differences between earlier and later adopters of residential solar photovoltaics. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *133*, 110142.
- Palmer, J., Sorda, G., & Madlener, R. (2015). Modeling the diffusion of residential photovoltaic systems in Italy: An agent-based simulation. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *99*, 106–131.
- Pasten, C., & Santamarina, J. C. (2012). Energy and quality of life. *Energy Policy*, *49*, 468–476.
- Pathania, A.K., Goyal, B., & Saini, J.R. (2017). Diffusion of adoption of solar energy – A structural model analysis. *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment*, *6*(2), 66–83.
- Paul, J., & Criado, A. R. (2020). The art of writing literature review: What do we know and what do we need to know? *International Business Review*, *29*(4), 101717.
- Paul, J., & Rosado, A. (2019). Gradual Internationalization vs Born-Global/International new venture models: A review and research agenda. *International Marketing Review*, *36*(26). <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-10-2018-0280>
- Po, K. (2014). *Evaluating renewable energy policy: A review of criteria and indicators for assessment*.
- Pradhan, B. R., & Kar, S. K. (2019). Energy inclusion through renewable energy adoption and livelihood improvement in India. *IIMS Journal of Management Science*, *10*(1and2), 52–63.
- Qureshi, T.M., Ullah, K., & Arentsen, M. (2017). Factors responsible for solar PV adoption at household level: A case of Lahore, Pakistan. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *78*, 754–763.
- Rai, R., & Allada, V. (2002). Adaptive-agent based simulation model to study diffusion of eco-innovation strategies. In *International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference*, 36223, 495–503.
- Rai, V., Reeves, D. C., & Margolis, R. (2016). Overcoming barriers and uncertainties in the adoption of residential solar PV. *Renewable Energy*, *89*, 498–505.
- Rai, V., & Robinson, S. (2015). Agent-based modeling of energy technology adoption: Empirical integration of social, behavioral, economic, and environmental factors. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, *70*, 163–177.
- Rao, K. U., & Kishore, V. V. N. (2010). A review of technology diffusion models with special reference to renewable energy technologies. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *14*(3), 1070–1078.
- Rogers, E. M. (1962). *Diffusion of Innovations* (First Printing). ISBN 10: 002926670X / ISBN 13: 9780029266700. Published by The Free Press of Glencoe, NY.
- Rogers, E. M., Singhal, A., & Quinlan, M. M. (2014). Diffusion of innovations. In *An integrated approach to communication theory and research* (pp. 432–448). Routledge.
- Schelly, C., & Letzelter, J. (2020). Examining the key drivers of residential solar adoption in upstate New York. *Sustainability*, *12*, 2552.
- Schulte, E., Scheller, F., Sloot, D., & Bruckner, T. (2022). A meta-analysis of residential PV adoption: The important role of perceived benefits, intentions and antecedents in solar energy acceptance. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *84*, 102339.

- Sharif, I., & Mithila, M. (2013). Rural electrification using PV: The success story of Bangladesh. *Energy Procedia*, 33, 343–354.
- Simpson, G., & Clifton, J. (2017). Testing diffusion of innovations theory with data: Financial incentives, early adopters, and distributed solar energy in Australia. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 29, 12–22.
- Singh, S., & Dhir, S. (2019). Structured review using TCCM and bibliometric analysis of international cause-related marketing, social marketing, and innovation of the firm. *International Review on Public and Nonprofit Marketing*, 16, 335–347.
- Smith, A., Kern, F., Raven, R., & Verhees, B. (2014). Spaces for sustainable innovation: Solar photovoltaic electricity in the UK. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 81, 115–130.
- Smith, A., & Raven, R. (2012). What is protective space? Reconsidering niches in transitions to sustainability. *Research Policy*, 41(6), 1025–1036.
- Thiede, D. J. (2014). *Exploring the power of consumer attitudes and actions on the adoption of solar and wind energy in Minnesota*. University Digital Conservancy. <https://hdl.handle.net/11299/166765>
- Tigabu, A. (2017). Analyzing the diffusion and adoption of renewable energy technologies in Africa: The functions of innovation systems perspective. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development*, 10, 1–10.
- UNSDG. (2015). United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals retrieved from <https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/development-agenda> on April 4, 2024
- Vasseur, V., & Kemp, R. (2015). The adoption of PV in the Netherlands: A statistical analysis of adoption factors. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 41, 483–494.
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science*, 46, 186–204.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 425–478.
- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y., & Xu, X. (2012). Consumer acceptance and use of information technology: Extending the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(1), 157–178.
- Venkateswaran, J., Solanki, C., Werner, K., & Yadama, G. (2018). Addressing Energy Poverty in India: A systems perspective on the role of localization, affordability, and saturation in implementing solar technologies. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 40, 205–210.
- Walters, J., Kaminsky, J., & Huepe, C. (2018). Factors influencing household solar adoption in Santiago, Chile. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 144(6). [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)CO.1943-7862.0001483](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001483)
- Wolske, K., Stern, P., & Dietz, T. (2017). Explaining interest in adopting residential solar photovoltaic systems in the United States: Toward an integration of behavioral theories. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 25, 134–151.
- Wüstenhagen, R., Wolsink, M., & Bürer, M. J. (2007). Social acceptance of renewable energy innovation: An introduction to the concept. *Energy Policy*, 35(5), 2683–2691.
- Zhang, N., Lu, Y., Chen, J., & Hwang, B. G. (2022). An agent-based diffusion model for Residential Photovoltaic deployment in Singapore: Perspective of consumers' behaviour. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 367, 132793.
- Zhao, J., Mazhari, E., Celik, N., & Son, Y. J. (2011). Hybrid agent-based simulation for policy evaluation of solar power generation systems. *Simulation Modeling Theory and Practice*, 19, 2189–2205.
- Zulu, S., Zulu, E., & Chabala, M. (2022). Factors influencing households' intention to adopt solar energy solutions in Zambia: insights from the theory of planned behaviour. *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment*, 11(4), 951–971.